UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,774	12/14/2005	Fabien Schweighoffer	BJS-3665-167	5165
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 06/24/200 NDERHYE. PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	JAVANMARD, SAHAR		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/560,774	SCHWEIGHOFFER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		SAHAR JAVANMARD	1617				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appropriation of the second section appropriate the second	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/1	0/08					
, —		is action is non-final.					
3)	<i>,</i> —						
٥/ك	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	·	2x parte quayre, 1000 0.2. 11, 1	00 0.0.210.				
Disposit	on of Claims						
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>7 and 11-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) 7 and 11-14 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac		Examiner.				
.0,							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notice (3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal 6)  Other:	Date				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Status of the Application

This Office Action is in response to applicant's arguments filed on 03/10/2008.

Claim(s) 7 and 11-14 are pending. Claim(s) 7, 11, 13 and 14 have been amended.

Claims 8-10 have been cancelled. Claim(s) 7 and 11-14 are examined herein.

## Response to Arguments

In view of Applicant's cancellation of claim 8, the objection over said claim is hereby withdrawn.

In view of Applicant's amendments, the 112 1<sup>st</sup> rejection of claims 7-14 has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments with respect to the 102(b) rejection of claims 7-12 as being anticipated over Bamdad (WO 01/78709 A2) has been fully considered but is not persuasive as Applicant is now arguing based on amended claims. Since Applicant has amended the claims, said rejection is hereby withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments with respect to the 102(b) rejection of claims 13 and 14 as being anticipated over Ikhlef et al. (US Pub. No. 2003/0064374 A1) has been fully considered but is not persuasive as Applicant is now arguing based on amended claims. Since Applicant has amended the claims, said rejection is hereby withdrawn.

Applicant's amendments necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 7 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ikhlef et al. (US Pub. No. 2003/0064374 A1) in view of Dalton et al. (WO 95/11887).

Ikhlef teaches treating neurodegenerative diseases, including ALS and Alzheimer's disease with the use of etazolate (page 4, [0056]; claims 9, 12-14, and 17).

Ikhlef teaches that etazolate may be administered administered by any method known in the art preferably injection, namely the intravenous route (page 4, [0058]).

Ikhlef does not teach treating cognitive deficits.

Dalton teaches a class of compounds that are enhancers of memory and cognition and used to treat age-related memory deficit conditions, such as Alzheimer's disease (page 1, lines 19-21).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have known that by administering etazolate as taught by Ikhlef to treat Alzheimer's disease, that one would also have been treating the cognitive deficiencies of the disease. As taught by Dalton, Alzheimer's disease is an age-related memory deficit condition, therefore by treating Alzheimer's disease, one is indeed also treating the cognitive deficiencies of the disease. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art, would with a reasonable degree of success, expect that by administering etazolate to treat Alzheimer's disease would also be treating the cognitive deficits associated with the disease.

### Conclusion

Claims 7 and 11-14 are not allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See

Art Unit: 1617

MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAHAR JAVANMARD whose telephone number is (571) 270-3280. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM-5 PM MON-FRI (EST).

Application/Control Number: 10/560,774 Page 6

Art Unit: 1617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

/S. J./

Examiner, Art Unit 1617

/SREENI PADMANABHAN/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1617